

# Yield curve good pointer to cycles

Changes in the spread between US short-term and long-term interest rates may be the best means of forecasting business cycle turning points. When short rates are lower than long — as they are now — the economy tends to grow. But when long rates drop below short, recession looms.

Over the past 25 years, movements in the "term structure" of interest rates, or yield curve, have correctly predicted all the major peaks and troughs in the US business cycle, according to Professor Campbell Harvey of Duke University's Fuqua School of Business.

Unlike other indicators, the yield curve has not put out a false signal. In the third quarter of 1968, the curve began an inversion, changing its slope from positive to negative and correctly signalled the recession of 1969-70, which began five months after long rates dropped below short rates.

The yield curve inverted two months before the 1973-75 recession began. In the fourth quarter of 1978 and in the fourth quarter of 1980, the yield curve inverted, recovered and inverted again, correctly predicting the double-dip recessions of 1980 and 1981-82, which some economists see as one recession.

How about the latest recession, which may or may not be over? The Administration attributed the onset of the recession to the outbreak of the Gulf crisis in August 1990. But the inversion of the yield curve in the second quarter of 1989 had predicted the downturn that began five months later — the average lag between inversions and recessions.

But so slight was the inversion in the last three

quarters of 1989 that it was unclear whether the economy was facing recession or just a spell of sluggish growth. The inversion in the third quarter of 1989 was only 30-basis points (with long-term rates just 0.3 per cent below short-term rates). By comparison, the inversion in the fourth quarter of 1980 was 340 basis points (3.4 per cent), correctly predicting the deep recession of 1981-82.

In July 1990, with the yield curve positive again, Professor Harvey correctly predicted that the economy would begin its recovery about the middle of 1991. That forecast now appears to be on target. The index of leading indicators posted a sharp increase of 1.2 per cent in July.

But the downward revision by the Commerce Department of the preliminary estimate of the growth of Gross National Product, corrected for inflation, in the second quarter to minus 0.3 per cent from positive 0.4 per cent has made some economists dubious about the end of the recession.

For his part, Professor Harvey holds that the present upward sloping yield curve signals a robust recovery, not a weak or moderate one, as most economists are forecasting. Using a model based on the yield curve, he forecasts real growth of 3.5 per cent from the second quarter of this year through the second quarter of 1992. But he concedes that his model is better at forecasting turning points than rates of growth.

He underestimated the degree of the current recession. But it was still milder than earlier recessions. From the third quarter of 1990 to the second quarter of 1991, real GNP declined 1.1 per cent compared with the 3.3 per cent in the

recession of 1981-82, 2.3 per cent in 1980 and 4.4 per cent in 1974-75.

Expectations of a stronger recovery can now be based on the steepening slope of the yield curve. Measured by the spread between three-month Treasury bills and 10-year Treasury notes, the yield curve has grown more positive in the past 12 months: long-term rates were 80 basis points higher than short rates in the third quarter of 1990, 90 in the fourth quarter of 1990, 160 in the first quarter of 1991 and 230 in the second quarter.

This week, the spread between three-month Treasury bills and 10-year notes was 244 basis points. This steepening curve gives no sign of a double-dip recession ahead, in contrast with the correct anticipation of the double-dip recessions of 1980 and 1981-82.

What explains the ability of the yield curve to call business cycle turning points? Most people are risk-averse: if they expect hard times, they will try to even out their future income flows by shifting money into secure, longer term assets, thereby bidding up their prices and reducing their yields.

But the typical yield curve was not always positive. The new addition of Sidney Homer's classic *History of Interest Rates* revised and updated by Mr Richard Sylla, reveals that during the 19th century and the first three decades of the 20th century, short-term paper rates averaged far higher than did prime long-term bond yields. The yield curve went positive during the Depression of the 1930s, when short-term yields plunged and has stayed positive most years since.

On the statistical record of the past quarter century, the yield curve has been a good forecaster of economic growth and recessions.

— The New York Times

Registered by Australia Post, Publication No. NBF 1310. Printed and published by John Fairfax Group Pty Limited (Receivers and Managers appointed) at A.C.N. 003 357 720 Jones Street, Broadway, Postal address Box 506, GPO, Sydney, 2001. Printed in Melbourne by David Syme & Co Ltd (Receivers and Managers appointed) A.C.N. 004 262 702 at Spencer Street, Melbourne for the publisher. Printed in Perth by Bell Group Press Pty Ltd, cnr Forward and Swansea Sts, East Victoria Park, WA 6101; for the publisher. Printed in Brisbane by Rural Press Queensland Printing at cnr Finucane Road and Delancy Street, Ormiston for the publisher. Registered at the British Post Office as a newspaper. \*Recommended and maximum price only.

Fin Review 12-9-91